

PPM4EU - Evaluation Form - Fourth meeting in St. Pölten


29
Responses

20:26
Average time to complete

Closed
Status


1. The topic of the project was interesting for me

4.52
Average Rating




2. I was satisfied with the project

3.76
Average Rating




3. I liked the atmosphere of the project

3.97
Average Rating




4. I could contribute a lot to the project's success

3.76
Average Rating




5. I was satisfied with the efficiency of the project

3.34
Average Rating




6. The project progress was always clear

3.59
Average Rating




7. The project symbolized the European spirit

3.72
Average Rating




8. I'm happy to take part in the project

4.28
Average Rating




9. I think this is a high-tech project

4.03
Average Rating




10. The project structure is clearly defined

3.79
Average Rating



11. We all have done a good job within this project up to now

3.93
Average Rating




12. It was easy to communicate within this project

4.03
Average Rating




13. We have reached all the goals of the meeting

3.48
Average Rating




14. I would like to continue this project

4.21
Average Rating




15. I have learned a lot about traditions of foreign countries

3.69
Average Rating




16. I liked the work with people from other school

4.21
Average Rating




17. I am satisfied with the general time usage

3.34
Average Rating




18. Is working fine among project partner schools?

4.03
Average Rating




19. Is working fine among people inside your own school

4.45
Average Rating




20. We found the way/tool to facilitate communication between partners after the meeting

4.10
Average Rating




21. I was able to understand the content of the different presentations

4.21
Average Rating




22. The project improved students linguistic skills (English)

3.93
Average Rating




23. The project improved students technical skills

4.00
Average Rating




24. Students have made few new friends within this project

4.14
Average Rating




25. General organisation by our host (HTL St. Pölten)

4.41
Average Rating




26. The (conference) rooms used for the meetings were well chosen

4.28
Average Rating




27. Organisation by coordinating school (BBS TGHS Bad Kreuznach)

3.83
Average Rating




28. Rate this activities:

1 2 3 4 5




29. Rate the Workgroups regarding time usage efficiency:

1 2 3 4 5




30. Rate how easy it was to find solutions within the different Worklines and groups (Only for students)

1 2 3 4 5



31. Rate the Workgroups regarding the results of the developed work:

1 2 3 4 5




32. Rate the accomodation

1 2 3 4 5

Rooms


Breakfast

Lunch at school




33. I feel comfortable with the time schedule until project end

3.45
Average Rating




34. I think in the next meeting in Bad Kreuznach (Germany) we will have at least one proper working Penny Press Machine

3.69
Average Rating




35. The reorganisation of the WorkLines and WorkGroups is appropriated to reach the project objectives

3.83
Average Rating



36. Once at home school, I think it will be necessary to communicate frequently to other students on my workgroup

4.00
Average Rating



37. Further steps / Homework is clear and affordable

3.76
Average Rating

